

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | . F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Sam Yang | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. 3795 | |
|-----------------------|------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 10/002,176 | | 12/05/2001 | | M4065.0210-/P210-A | | |
| 24998 | 7590 | 01/02/2003 | | | | |
| | | IRO MORIN & O | EXAMINER | | | |
| 2101 L STI WASHING | | 20037-1526 | | TRINH, HOA B | | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 2814 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 01/02/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/002,176 | YANG ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Vikki H Trinh | 2814 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 C | <u> ctober 2002</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi | s action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 32-96 is/are pending in the application | n. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 69-96 is/are withdraw | n from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-68</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | · | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | • | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | • | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received in | Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C | . § 119(e) (to a provisional appli | ication). | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. | | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office | ion Summan | Part of Pano | | | | | |

1/

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 32-68 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 69-96 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group II, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 4. Claims 32-46, 48-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ochiai et al. (6,410,397).

Ochiai et al. (6,410,397) discloses a method of forming a capacitor in a semiconductor device, the method including the steps of:

With respect to claim 32, forming a bottom conducting layer 18, forming a dielectric layer 19 over the bottom conducting layer, forming a top conducting layer 20, and annealing the top conducting layer. See figures 3-6, column 5, lines 33-55.

As to claim 33, the capacitor is formed over a conductive plug 21, the steps further includes depositing n oxygen barrier /insulator 17 over the plug prior to forming the bottom conducting layer 17. See figures 3-6 and column 6, lines 44-45.

As to claim 34, the steps include annealing the dielectric layer 19. See column 6, lines 664-65.

As to claims 35-41, 48-55, the bottom and top conducting layers are made from Pt, Rh, NbO, VO, or SBTN. See column 5, lines 34-52.

As to claims 42-46, the dielectric layer is made of Ta2O5 or BST or STO, which has a high dielectric constant of over 10 which is within the claimed range. See column 5, lines 39-52.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 47, 56-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ochiai et al. '397 in view of Hirota (6,228,702).

Ochiai et al. '397 teaches the invention substantially as claimed. However, Ochiai et al. '397 does not explicitly teach that the dielectric layer is heated to a temperature above 200 C, 1 to 760 torr, and a time period of 10 seconds to 30 minutes.

Hirota (6,228,702) teaches an annealing step using ultraviolet or RTN process with oxygen gas, the process includes heating a layers such as the dielectric layer, Ta2O5, to a temperature of 500-560 C and 1 to 10 torr in a time period of 60 seconds or 10 minutes. See column 14, lines 60-67, column 16, lines 25-26, lines 50-51.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to heat the invention of Ochiai et al. '397 with the range of temperature, pressure, and time period, as taught by Hirota, so as to provide a good capacitance insulation. See column 6, lines 7-8.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Choi (6,072,210) discloses a capacitor over a plug. See entire document.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Vikki Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 308-8238. The Examiner can normally be reached Mon-Tuesday, Thurs-Friday, 7:30 AM - 6:00 PM Eastern Time. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Wael Fahmy, can be reached at (703) 308-4918. General inquiries relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-0858. The fax number is (703) 308-2708.

 \mathcal{W}

Vikki Trinh, Patent Examiner AU 2814

SUPERVISORY PRIMATA TO ANALINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000